

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ALEX MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

CLARK COUNTY,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:14-cv-02135-RFB-NJK

ORDER

Before the Court is the parties' Protective Order (Docket No. 21), which the Court has approved separately to facilitate discovery in this case. This order reminds counsel that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files and records. A party seeking to file a confidential document under seal must file a motion to seal and must comply with the Ninth Circuit's directives in *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).

The Court has adopted electronic filing procedures, and with a few exceptions not applicable here, the Clerk of the Court no longer maintains paper records. Special Order 109 requires the Clerk of the Court to maintain the official files for all cases filed on or after November 7, 2005, in electronic form. The electronic record constitutes the official record of the Court. Attorneys must file documents under seal using the Court's electronic filing procedures. *See* Local Rule 10-5(b). That rule provides:

Unless otherwise permitted by statute, rule or prior Court order, papers filed with the Court under seal shall be accompanied by a motion for leave to file those documents under seal, and shall be filed in accordance with the Court's electronic filing procedures. If papers are filed under seal pursuant to prior Court order, the papers shall bear the following notation on the first page,

1 directly under the case number: "FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO
2 COURT ORDER DATED _____." All papers filed under seal will
3 remain sealed until such time as the Court may deny the motion to seal or
enter an order to unseal them, or the documents are unsealed pursuant to
Local Rule.

4 *Id.* Documents filed under seal are not accessible to the public.

5 The Court has approved the blanket protective order to facilitate discovery exchanges. But there
6 has been no showing, and the Court has not found, that any specific documents or items are secret or
7 confidential. The parties have not provided specific facts supported by declarations or concrete
8 examples to establish that a protective order is required to protect any specific trade secret or other
9 confidential information pursuant to Rule 26(c) or that disclosure would cause an identifiable and
10 significant harm. The Ninth Circuit has held that there is a presumption of public access to judicial files
11 and records and that parties seeking to maintain the confidentiality of documents attached to
12 nondispositive motions must show good cause exists to overcome the presumption of public access. *See*
13 *Kamakana* 447 F.3d at 1179. Parties seeking to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to
14 dispositive motions must show compelling reasons sufficient to overcome the presumption of public
15 access. *Id.* at 1180.¹

16 If the sole ground for a motion to seal is that the opposing party (or non-party) has designated
17 a document as subject to protection pursuant to the stipulated protective order, the movant must notify
18 the opposing party (or non-party) at least seven days prior to filing the designated document. The
19 designating party must then make a good faith determination if the relevant standard for sealing is met.
20 To the extent the designating party does not believe the relevant standard for sealing can be met, it shall
21 indicate that the document may be filed publicly no later than four days after receiving notice of the
22 intended filing. To the extent the designating party believes the relevant standard for sealing can be met,

23 _____
24 ¹

25 Additional information regarding the requirements for filing under seal can be found at *The Vaccine Center*
26 *LLC v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC*, 2013 U.S. Dist. Lexis 68298 (D. Nev. May 14, 2013) (discussing, *inter alia*,
27 the standards for sealing, standard for redaction rather than sealing entire documents, and impact of the
28 stipulated protective order on a motion to seal).

1 it shall provide a declaration supporting that assertion no later than four days after receiving notice of
2 the intended filing. The filing party shall then attach that declaration to its motion to seal the designated
3 material. If the designating party fails to provide such a declaration in support of the motion to seal, the
4 filing party shall file a motion to seal so indicating and the Court may order the document filed in the
5 public record.²

6 **IT IS ORDERED** that counsel shall comply with the requirements of Local Rule 10-5(b), the
7 Ninth Circuit's decision in *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d 1172, and the procedures outlined above, with respect
8 to any documents filed under seal. To the extent any aspect of the stipulated protective order may
9 conflict with this order or Local Rule 10-5(b), that aspect of the stipulated protective order is hereby
10 superseded with this order.

11 DATED: April 17, 2015.

12
13 
14 _____
NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 _____
2
26

27 In the event of an emergency motion, the above procedures shall not apply. Instead, the movant
28 shall file a motion to seal and the designating party shall file a declaration in support of that motion to
seal within three days of its filing. If the designating party fails to timely file such a declaration, the
Court may order the document filed in the public record.